

## STUDY OF LHP AND EFFECT OF RADIUS IN HEAT TREATED STEEL 1045 BAR BY 1-D FEM MODELING

ASA ELMARYAMI\*<sup>1</sup>, BADRUL OMAR<sup>2</sup>, ALI FTHEAL A. <sup>1</sup>, MOHAMAD S. A. <sup>1</sup>, AHMAD A. K. <sup>1</sup>, WAEL B. E. <sup>1</sup> AND MOFTAAH A. A. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The High Institute of Comprehensive Professions [HICP], Toubruk, Libya [www.htm.edu.ly](http://www.htm.edu.ly)

<sup>2</sup>University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia [UTHM], Mechanical Engineering Department 86400 Parit Raja, Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia,

\*Corresponding author: ASA Elmaryami [HICP], Toubruk, Libya [www.htm.edu.ly](http://www.htm.edu.ly),

E-mail address: [damer604@yahoo.com](mailto:damer604@yahoo.com)

### ABSTRACT

*One-dimensional (1D) model of an axisymmetric industrial quenched carbon steel-1045 bar based on finite element method (FEM) has been applied to investigate the influence of process history on its material properties. The lowest hardness point (LHP) and the effect of the radius of the bar on its temperature history and the LHP is determined. In this paper hardness in specimen points was obtained by calculated characteristic cooling time for phase transformation  $t_{8/5}$  to hardness. The model can be employed as a guideline to design cooling approach to achieve desired microstructure and mechanical properties such as hardness. A computer program of the model is developed, which can be used independently or incorporated into a temperature history software named LHP-software to continuously calculate and display temperature history of the industrial quenched steel bar and thereby calculate LHP and to study the effect of radius on temperature history and LHP. The developed program based on 1D FEM model has been verified by comparing its hardness results with experimental results. The comparison indicates its validity and reliability.*

**Keywords:** Heat Treatment; Quenching; Axisymmetric Carbon Steel-1045 Bar; Finite Element; Unsteady State Heat Transfer.

## 1. Introduction

Quenching of steels is a process involving a complicated pattern of couplings among heat transfer. Because of the complexity and non-linear nature of the problem, no analytical solution exists. However, numerical solution is possible by finite difference method, finite volume method, and the most popular one - finite element method (FEM)<sup>[1, 5]</sup>. During the quenching process of a steel bar, the heat transfer is in an unsteady state as there is a variation of temperature with time<sup>[1, 6]</sup>.

In this paper the heat transfer analysis is carried out in three dimensions (3D). The (3D) analysis is reduced to a 1-D axisymmetric analysis to save cost and computer time<sup>[1, 5, 7-11]</sup>. This is achievable because in axisymmetric conditions, the temperature deviations is only along radius ( $R$ ) while there is no temperature variation in the ( $\theta$ ) and ( $Z$ ) directions as indicated in Fig. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3<sup>[1, 36-41]</sup>. The Galerkin weighted residual technique is used to derive the mathematical model.

In this work, 1-D line (radius) element will be developed to determine LHP and to study the effect of four different radii of carbon steel-1045 bars on temperature history and LHP.

## 2. Mathematical model

### 2.1 Methodology of building the F. E. Model

The temperature distribution inside the cylindrical steel bar

when reached thermal equilibrium will be calculated.

These are special classes of three-dimensional heat transfer problem:

- i. Geometrically axisymmetric.
- ii. Each thermal load is symmetrical about an axis.

This three-dimensional heat transfer problem may be analyzed using one-dimensional axisymmetric elements as shown in Figs. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3<sup>[1, 36-41]</sup>.

The finite element method is applied to the one-dimensional cylindrical coordinates heat transfer problem. The finite element formulation is developed with the Galerkin Weighted-residual method. The appropriate working expressions of the conductance matrix, capacitance matrix and thermal load matrix are derived in details.

The time dependent solution is obtained by applying the Backward Difference Scheme [BDS].


#### 2.1.1 Meshing the engineering problem of the domain

Meshing of the domain according to which kind of element selected, where our research is one-dimensional axisymmetric elements then line element have been selected on this mathematical model. Let us consider a cylindrical carbon steel-1045 bar as shown in Fig. 1 which had been heated and then submerged in water.


The linear temperature distribution for an element (radius) line, T is given by:

$$T^{(R)} = a_1 + a_2 R \tag{1}$$


Where,  $T^{(R)}$  = nodal temperature as the function of R,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are constants. R is any point on the (radius) line element.



**Fig. 1** The axisymmetric one dimensional line (radius) element from the domain, on the cylindrical carbon steel 1045 which had been heated and then submerged in water.



**Fig. 2** The axisymmetric one dimensional line (radius) element from the domain on the axisymmetric rectangular cross section.



**Fig. 3** The axisymmetric one dimensional line (radius) element from the domain, the selected 4 elements with 5 nodes and the boundary at node j [5] for an element 4.

### 2.1.2 Shape function of 1-D axisymmetric element

The shape functions were to represent the variation of the field variable over the element. The shape function of axisymmetric 1-Dimensional line (radius) element expressed in terms of the r coordinate and its coordinate are shown in Fig. 4;

Which are derived to obtain the following shape functions [1];

$$S_i = \left( \frac{R_j - R}{R_j - R_i} \right) = \left( \frac{R_j - R}{L} \right) \tag{2a}$$

$$S_j = \left( \frac{R - R_i}{R_j - R_i} \right) = \left( \frac{R - R_i}{L} \right) \tag{2b}$$

Thus the temperature distribution of 1-D radius for an element in terms of the shape function can be written as:

$$T^{(R)} = S_i T_i + S_j T_j = S^{(r)} \{T\} \tag{3}$$

Where  $[S^{(r)}] = [S_i \ S_j]$  is a row vector matrix and

$\{T\} = \begin{Bmatrix} T_i \\ T_j \end{Bmatrix}$  is a column vector of nodal temperature of the element.

Eqn. (3) can also be expressed in matrix form as:

$$T^{(R)} = [S_i \ S_j] \begin{Bmatrix} T_i \\ T_j \end{Bmatrix} \tag{4}$$


Thus for 1-dimensional element we can write in general:

$$\Psi^{(e)} = [S_i \ S_j] \begin{Bmatrix} \psi_i \\ \psi_j \end{Bmatrix} \tag{5}$$


Where  $\Psi_i$  and  $\Psi_j$  represent the nodal values of the unknown variable which in our case is temperature. The unknown can also be deflection, or velocity etc.

### 2.1.3 Natural area coordinate

Using the natural length coordinates and their relationship with the shape function by simplification of the integral of Galerkin solution:



**Fig. 4** One-dimensional linear temperature distribution for an element (radius) line in Global Coordinate system.



**Fig. 5** Two-node line element showing interior point p and the two natural coordinates  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

The two length natural coordinates  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  at any point p inside the element are shown in Fig. 5 from which we can write:

$$(6a) \quad L_1 = \frac{R_j - R}{R_j - R_i} = \frac{L_2}{L}$$


$$(6b) \quad L_2 = \frac{R - R_i}{R_j - R_i} = \frac{L_1}{L}$$

Since it is a one-dimensional element, there should be only one independent coordinate to define any point P. This is true even with natural coordinates as the two natural coordinates  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are not independent, but are related as:

$$L_1 + L_2 = S_1 + S_2 = 1$$

## 2.2 Develop Equation for all Elements of the Domain

Derivation of equation of heat transfer in axisymmetric one-dimensional line (radius) elements by applying the conservation of energy to a differential volume cylindrical segment has been done<sup>[1]</sup>. As shown in Fig. 6;



**Fig. 6** Axisymmetric element from an axisymmetric body.

$$E_{in} - E_{out} + E_{generated} = E_{stored} \quad (7)$$

The transient heat transfer within the component during quenching can mathematically be described by simplifying the differential volume term<sup>[4, 12]</sup>; the heat conduction equation is derived and given by;

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( K_r r \frac{dT}{dr} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left( K_\theta \frac{dT}{d\theta} \right) + \frac{d}{dz} \left( K_z \frac{dT}{dz} \right) + q = \rho c \frac{dT}{dt} \quad (8)$$

$k_r$  = heat conductivity coefficient in  $r$ -direction,  $W/m^\circ C$ ,  $k_\theta$  = heat conductivity coefficient in  $\theta$ -direction,  $W/m^\circ C$ .

$k_z$  = heat conductivity coefficient in  $z$ -direction,  $W/m^\circ C$ ,  $T$  = temperature,  $^\circ C$ ,  $q$  = heat generation,  $W/m^3$ ,  $\rho$  = mass density,  $kg/m^3$ .

$c$  = specific heat of the medium,  $J/kg \cdot K$  and  $t$  = time,  $s$ .

## 2.3 The assumption made in this problem was:

i. For axisymmetric situations one dimensional line (radius) element, there is no variation of temperature in the  $Z$ -direction as shown in Figs. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. Therefore we can write,

$$\left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = 0 \right)$$

ii. For axisymmetric situations, there is no variation of temperature in the  $\theta$ -direction, because it is clear from Figs. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 that the temperature distribution along the radius will be the same if the radius move with angle  $\theta$ ,  $360^\circ$ .

$$\text{Therefore, } \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} = 0 \right).$$

iii. The thermal energy generation rate  $\dot{q}$  represents the rate of the conversion of energy from electrical, chemical, nuclear, or electromagnetic forms to thermal energy within the volume of the system, however in this manuscript no heat generation has been taken into account. Therefore,  $\dot{q} = 0$

After simplifying, the Eqn. (8) becomes;-

$$\frac{k}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right) = \rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \quad (9)$$

And also known as residual or partial differential equation

$$\{\mathfrak{R}\} = \frac{k}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right) - \rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (10)$$

## 2.4 Galerkin Weighted Residual Method Formulation

From the derived heat conduction equation, the Galerkin residual for 1-dimensional line (radius) element in an unsteady state heat transfer can be obtained by integration the transpose of the shape functions times the residual which minimize the residual to zero becomes;

$$\int_V [S]^T \{\mathfrak{R}\}^{(e)} dv = 0 \quad (11)$$

Where,  $[S]^T$  = the transpose of the shape function matrix and  $\{\mathfrak{R}\}^{(e)}$  = the residual contributed by element (e) to the final system of equations.

After derivation, simplification and rearranged, we get:

$$= \overbrace{\frac{k}{r} \int \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( [S]^T r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right) \right\} dv}^A - \overbrace{\frac{k}{r} \int \left\{ \frac{\partial [S]^T}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right\} dv}^B - \underbrace{\int [S]^T \left\{ \rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \right\} dv}_C \quad (12)$$

**Term A** is the heat convection terms and contributes to the conductance and thermal load matrix. **Term B** is the heat conduction terms and contributes to the conductance matrix. **Term C** is the transient equation and contributes to the capacitance matrix.

Finally we can formulate the conductance matrix in the  $r$ -direction for term A, B and term C, we get:

### Term A (Heat Convection):

**Term A<sub>1</sub>** (the convection term) contributes to the conductance matrix:

$$-2hR_j \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} T_i \\ T_j \end{Bmatrix}$$

**Term A<sub>2</sub>** (the convection term) contributes to thermal load matrix:

$$\underbrace{2hR_j T_w}_{f_h} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

**Term B** (the conduction term) contributes to the conductance matrix:

$$\underbrace{\frac{k}{L} (R_j + R_i)}_{K_c} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} T_i \\ T_j \end{Bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

**Term C** (heat stored) contributes to the Capacitance Matrix:

$$\underbrace{\frac{L\rho c}{6} \begin{bmatrix} (3R_i + R_j) & (R_i + R_j) \\ (R_i + R_j) & (R_i + 3R_j) \end{bmatrix}}_C \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{T}_i \\ \dot{T}_j \end{Bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

## 2.5 Construct the element Matrices to the Global Matrix

The global, conductance, capacitance and thermal load matrices and the global of the unknown temperature matrix for all the elements in the domain are assembled i.e. the element's conductance; capacitance and thermal load matrices have been derived. Assembling these elements is necessary in all finite element analysis.

Constructing these elements will result into the following finite element equation:

$$[K]^{(G)} \{T\}^{(G)} + [C]^{(G)} \{\dot{T}\}^{(G)} = \{F\}^{(G)} \quad (17)$$

Where:  $[K]^{(G)} = [K_c] + [K_h]$ : is conductance matrix due to Conduction (Elements 1 to 4) and heat loss through convection at the element's boundary (element 4 node 5) as shown in Figs. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3,  $\{T\}$ : is temperature value at each node, °C,  $[C]$ : is capacitance matrix, due to transient equation (heat stored) and  $\{\dot{T}\}$ : is temperature rate for each node, °C/s.

$\{F\}^{(G)} = \{F_h\} + \{F_q\}$ : is heat load due to heat loss through convection at the element's boundary (element 4 node 5) and internal heat generation (element 4 node 5).

## 2.6 Euler's method

Two point recurrence formulas will allow us to compute the nodal temperatures as a function of time. In this paper, Euler's method which is known as the backward difference scheme (FDS) will be used to determine the rate of change in temperature, the temperature history at any point (node) of the steel bar<sup>[4, 12-15]</sup>. If the derivative of T with respect to time t is written in the backward direction and if the time step is not equal to zero ( $\Delta t \neq 0$ ), we have;

$$\left\{ \dot{T} \right\} \approx \left\{ \frac{T(t) - T(t - \Delta t)}{\Delta t} \right\} \quad (18)$$

With;  $\dot{T}$  = temperature rate (°C/s); T (t) = temperature at t s (°C); T (t-Δt) = temperature at (t-Δt) s, (°C), Δt = selected time step (s) and t = time (s) (at starting time, t = 0), by substituting  $\{\dot{T}\}$  into the finite element

global equation, we have that;

$$[K]^{(G)} \{T(t)\}^{(G)} + [C]^{(G)} \left\{ \frac{T(t) - T(t - \Delta t)}{\Delta t} \right\}^{(G)} = \{F(t)\}^{(G)} \quad (19)$$

Finally, the matrices become

$$[[K]^{(G)} \Delta t + [C]^{(G)}] \{T\}_{j+1}^{(G)} = [C]^{(G)} \{T\}_j^{(G)} + \{F\}_{j+1}^{(G)} \Delta t \quad (20)$$

From Eqn. (20) all the right hand side is completely known at time t, including t = 0 for which the initial condition apply.

Therefore, the nodal temperature can be obtained for a subsequent time given the temperature for the preceding time. Once the temperature history is known the important mechanical properties of the carbon steel-1045 can be obtained such as hardness and strength.

## 3. APPLICATION

### 3.1 Calculation the temperature history

The present developed mathematical model is programmed using MATLAB to simulate the results of the temperature distribution with respect to time in transient state heat transfer of the industrial quenched carbon steel-1045. The cylindrical carbon steel-1045 bar has been heated to 850°C. Then being quenched in water with  $T_{water} = 32^\circ\text{C}$  and the convection heat transfer coefficient,  $h_{water} = 5000 \text{ W/m}^2\cdot^\circ\text{C}$ <sup>[1, 16, 36-41, 43-45]</sup>.

The temperature history for the selected nodes of the cylindrical carbon steel-1045 bar when the radius = 12.5 mm after quenching is being identified in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. The cylindrical bar was made from carbon steel-1045, with properties as mentioned below:

$$\text{Density} = 7872 \text{ kg/m}^3$$

$$\text{Specific heat} = 486 \text{ J/Kg.K}$$

$$\text{Thermal capacity, } \rho c \text{ (J/m}^3\cdot^\circ\text{C)}.$$

$$0 \leq T \leq 650^\circ\text{C}, \rho c = (0.004T + 3.3) \times 10^6,$$

$$650 < T \leq 725^\circ\text{C}, \rho c = (0.068T - 38.3) \times 10^6$$

$$725 < T \leq 800^\circ\text{C}, \rho c = (-0.086T + 73.55) \times 10^6$$

$$, T > 800^\circ\text{C}, \rho c = 3.825792 \times 10^6$$

$$\text{Thermal conductivity, } k \text{ (W/m}\cdot^\circ\text{C)}:$$

$$0 \leq T \leq 900^\circ\text{C}, k = -0.022T + 48, T > 900^\circ\text{C},$$

$$k = 50.9$$

In our case Eqn. 20 becomes:

$$[K]^{(G)} \{T\}^{(G)} + [C]^{(G)} \{\dot{T}\}^{(G)} = \{F\}^{(G)} \text{ and their respective equation;}$$


$$[K]^{(G)} = [K_c]^{(1)} + [K_c]^{(2)} + [K_c]^{(3)} + [K_c]^{(4)} + [K_h]^{(4)} \quad (21)$$

$$[C]^{(G)} = [C]^{(1)} + [C]^{(2)} + [C]^{(3)} + [C]^{(4)} \quad (22)$$


$$\{F\}^{(G)} = \{F_h\}^{(4)} \quad (23)$$

With the input data and boundary conditions provided, a sensitivity analysis is carried out with the developed program [LHP-software] to obtain the temperature distribution at any point (node) of the quenched steel bar. As an example the transient state temperature distribution results of the selected five nodes from the center [W<sub>1</sub>] to the surface [W<sub>5</sub>] of the quenched carbon steel-1045 bar which were computed are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8a. By the same way the




temperature history of the 5 selected nodes has been obtained when the radii 25, 50 and 100 mm is determined. The final results are shown in Fig. 8b, Fig. 8c and Fig. 8d respectively.



**Fig. 7** The axisymmetric one dimensional line (radius) element from the domain, the selected 4 elements with 5 nodes and the boundary at node j [5] for an element 4.



**Fig. 8a** Graph of temperature history along WW cross-section when the radius equal 12.5 mm from LHP-software.



### 3.2 LHP Calculation

#### 3.2.1 Calculating the cooling time required

As known during quenching, there are two important temperatures [800°C and 500°C] to calculate the cooling time<sup>[3, 12, 21-27]</sup>, because the characteristic cooling time,

relevant for structure transformation for most structural steels, is the time of cooling from 800 to 500°C (time  $t_{8/5}$ )<sup>[2, 8-11, 28-41]</sup>.

$$t_c = t_{800} - t_{500}$$

From Fig. 8a when the radius = 12.5mm we can determine the time taken for node  $W_1$  to reach 800°C, and the time taken for node  $W_1$  to reach 500°C.

So the Cooling time  $t_c$  for node  $W_1$ ;

$$t_c = t_{800} - t_{500} = 3.381020 \text{ sec}$$

For nodes  $W_2$  to  $W_5$ , the cooling time  $t_c$  calculated by the same way, the final results shown in Table 1.

### 3.2.2 Calculating the Jominy distance from Standard Jominy distance versus cooling time curve

Cooling time,  $t_c$  obtained will now be substituted into the Jominy distance versus cooling time curve to get the correspondent Jominy distance. Jominy distance can also be calculated by using polynomial expressions via polynomial regression via Microsoft Excel.

The standard Table [Cooling rate at each Jominy distance (Chandler, H., 1998)] can be used too<sup>[14]</sup>.

Then Jominy distance of nodes  $W_1$  to  $W_5$  will be calculated, the final results shown in table 1, where:

The Rate of Cooling, ROC, was defined by;

$$ROC = \frac{800^\circ\text{C} - 500^\circ\text{C}}{t_c} = \frac{800^\circ\text{C} - 500^\circ\text{C}}{t_{500^\circ\text{C}} - t_{800^\circ\text{C}}} \text{ (}^\circ\text{C/s)}$$


### 3.2.3 Predict the hardness of the quenched steel bar

The HRC can be calculated by using the relation between the J-Distance and the HRC as explained in section 3.2.2<sup>[14, 42-45]</sup>, the final results shown in Table 1, Fig. 9a, Fig. 9b, Fig. 9c, and Fig. 9d for the radii 12.5, 25, 50 and 100mm respectively:


**Table 1** Cooling time, Cooling rate, Jominy distance and HRC along WW cross-section when the radii = 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 mm of the carbon steel bar respectively, water cooled.

When the radius of the carbon steel 1045 bar = 12.5 mm				
Node	$t_c$ (s)	ROC ( $^\circ\text{C/s}$ )	J-distance mm	HRC
$W_1$	3.38102	88.7306	5.386	41.956
$W_2$	3.34327	89.7325	5.347	42.112
$W_3$	3.14244	95.4672	5.141	42.936
$W_4$	2.58465	116.0699	4.467	44.300
$W_5$	1.46664	204.5492	2.457	53.039
When the radius of the carbon steel 1045 bar = 25 mm				
$W_{11}$	8.77840	34.17479	9.007	27.993
$W_{22}$	8.66125	34.63703	8.936	28.223
$W_{33}$	7.84419	38.24486	8.444	32.045
$W_{44}$	5.45998	54.94525	7.023	34.920
$W_{55}$	1.796738	166.9692	3.221	48.506
When the radius of the carbon steel 1045 bar = 50 mm				
$W_{111}$	25.474	11.77671	16.042	23.792
$W_{222}$	23.371	12.83642	15.212	23.958
$W_{333}$	20.033	14.97529	14.004	24.498
$W_{444}$	12.352	24.28756	10.854	26.150
$W_{555}$	2.197	136.5498	3.854	45.657
When the radius of the carbon steel 1045 bar = 100 mm				
$W_{1111}$	83.527	3.591652	33.138	20.372
$W_{2222}$	82.923	3.617814	33.011	20.398


$W_{3333}$	68.817	4.359387	29.546	21.091
$W_{4444}$	30.819	9.734254	18.392	23.322
$W_{5555}$	3.162	94.87666	5.161	42.856




9a



9b



9c



9d

Then the effect of radius on LHP of the carbon steel 1045 when the radii = 12.5 mm, 25 mm, 50 mm and 100 mm can be seen clearly in Fig. 10.




Fig. 10 The effect of radius on LHP of the carbon steel-1045 when the radii = 12.5 mm, 25 mm, 50 mm and 100 mm respectively.

### 4. Mathematical model verification

Where, the lowest hardness point can be determined by developing mathematical model, but it is impossible to calculated by experimental work, therefore the developed mathematical model has been verified by calculating the hardness on the surface, for both mathematical model and experimentally, however a strong agreement between both results conformed so thereby this served as a validated to the experiment, the comparison got across that the reliability of the proposed model indicated that the calculation of the lowest hardness point at is correct. Where, it was found as shown in Fig. 9a that the hardness at the surfaces node [W<sub>5</sub>] equal 53.039 by developed 1-D mathematical model. And it was found as shown in Table 2 that the average hardness at the same node on the surface [W<sub>5</sub>] equal 52.878 by experimental work.

Table 2 Hardness of carbon steel 1045 on the surface at different points experimentally

	P. 1	P. 2	P. 3	P. 4	P. 5
	120.67	119.43	119.75	119.87	119.13

HRB	P. 6	P. 7	P. 8	P. 9	P. 10
	119.77	120.43	120.44	119.84	119.39
Av. HRB	119.939				
Av. HRC	52.878				

### 5. Discussions and conclusion

A 1-D mathematical model of transient industrial quenched carbon steel-1045 has been developed to compute the temperature distribution in specimens with four different radii cylindrical geometry of 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 mm respectively to determine LHP and to study the effect of the radii on temperature history and LHP.

It is clear from our results as shown in Fig. 8a to Fig. 8d that the nodes on the surfaces cool faster than the nodes at half the length at the centre because  $t_c$  of nodes W<sub>5</sub> to W<sub>5555</sub> less than  $t_c$  of nodes W<sub>1</sub> to W<sub>1111</sub>, respectively. This means that the mechanical properties such as hardness will be different. Where the hardness on the surface nodes will be higher than the hardness on the center nodes the results showed that the node on the surface will be the first to be completely cooled after quenching.

Because it is in the contact with the cooling medium then the other points (nodes) on the radial axis to the centre respectively while the last point that will be completely cooled after quenching will be at half the length at the centre which is LHP as shown in Fig. 9a to Fig. 9d.

It will be more important to know LHP once the radius of the quenched steel bar is large because LHP will be low. In other words LHP will be lower than the hardness on the surface that means increasing the radius of the bar is inversely proportional to LHP as shown in Fig. 10.

Experimental calculation of LHP is an almost impossible task using manual calculation techniques. Also the earlier methods only used hardness calculated at the surface, which is higher than LHP, which has negative consequence and can result in the deformation and failure of the component.

### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank {Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia} for supporting this research under the Science Fund Grant with grant number {1025}.

### References

1. AbdImanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar (2011). "Developing 1D MM of Axisymmetric Transient Quenched Chromium Steel to Determine LHP". Journal of Metallurgy. MIdoi: 10.1155 / 2012 / 539823. Vol. 2012 (2012), 9 pages. Academic Editor: Prof. Elena V. Pereloma. Copyright©2012 A. S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar. <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jm/2012/539823/>
2. AbdImanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar (2012). A Book Chapter: "Developing 1-Dimensional Transient Heat Transfer Axi-Symmetric MM to Predict the Hardness, Determination LHP and to Study the Effect of Radius on E-LHP of Industrial Quenched Steel Bar". Heat



- Transfer Phenomena and Applications, Dr M. Salim Newaz Kazi (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0815-3, Publisher: InTech, Chapters published October 24, 2012 under CC BY 3.0 license, DOI: 10.5772/51947. Available from: Chapter 6, p. 153-182. <http://www.intechopen.com/books/heat-transfer-phenomena-and-applications/>
3. Badrul Omar, Mohamed Elshayb and Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami (2009). "Unsteady state thermal behavior of industrial quenched steel bar". 18 th World IMACS Congress and International Congress on Modelling and Simulation: Interfacing Modelling and Simulation with Mathematical and Computational Sciences, MODSIM09; Cairns, QLD; Australia; 2009; pp. 1699-1705. Scopus. Copyright©2010 Elsevier. <http://www.scopus.com/record/display.url?eid=2-s2.0-80053028712&origin=inward&txGid=B3B00A703EC6950911447E94549248C9.zQKnzAySRvJOZYcdfLziQ%3a92>
  4. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar (2011). "Effect of Austenitizing Temperatures on Hardness of Two Chromium Steel Quenched in Sea Water by Unsteady State Computer Simulation". Materials Science & Technology 2011 [MS&T'11] Conference & Exhibition, 2011, Columbus, Ohio, USA. Scopus. Copyright©2011 Elsevier. <http://www.programmaster.org/PM/PM.nsf/ApprovedAbstracts/C4550CB6244A2A3E8525784C0039ACD1?OpenDocument>
  5. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami, Badrul Omar, (2013). "Modeling the effect of radius on temperature history of transient quenched boron steel". Journal of Acta Metallurgica Slovaca. DOI: 10.12776/ams.v19i2.94. Vol. 19, 2013, No. 2, pp. 106-112. Scopus, (ISI Publication: Q2). (ISI-Cited Publication). [Impact factor: 1.092]. Copyright©2013 Elsevier. <http://www.scopus.com/record/display.url?eid=2-s2.0-84877640983&origin=inward&txGid=2288C447D14E06C42D0DA492ACF19C28.aXczxbyuHHiXgaIW6Ho7g%3a24>
  6. Budinski, K. G. (1992). "Engineering Material: Properties and Selection." 4th ed. Prentice Hall International. Inc. 285-309.
  7. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami (2010) Heat treatment of steel by developing finite element mathematical model and by simulation. Master's thesis. University Tun Hussein Onn, Malaysia.
  8. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami, Badrul Omar, (2013). "Effect of radius on temperature history of transient industrial quenched chromium steel-8650H by developing 1-D MM". Journal of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Vol. 7, No. 10, pp. 471-486, 2013. Scopus, (ISI Publication: Q3). (ISI-Cited Publication). [Impact factor: 0.461]. Copyright©2013 Elsevier. <http://www.scopus.com/record/display.url?eid=2-s2.0-84871688701&origin=inward&txGid=2288C447D14E06C42D0DA492ACF19C28.aXczxbyuHHiXgaIW6Ho7g%3a19>
  9. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami., Elshayeb, M., Badru Omar., Basu, P., Hasan, S.B.H., (2013). "Development of a numerical model of quenching of steel bars for determining cooling curves". Journal of "Metalovedenie i Termicheskaya Obrabotka Metallov", [Russian Journal: No. 4, pp. 48-51]. Translated by the Russian Journal to "Metal Science and Heat Treatment". DOI: 10.1007/s11041-013-9608-6. Vol. 55, Issue 3-4, pp 216-219, in English by Springer, Scopus, (ISI Publication: Q3). (ISI-Cited Publication). [Impact Factor: 0.185]. Copyright©2013 Elsevier. <http://www.scopus.com/record/display.url?eid=2-s2.0-84882821765&origin=inward&txGid=2288C447D14E06C42D0DA492ACF19C28.aXczxbyuHHiXgaIW6Ho7g%3a14>
  10. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami, Badrul Omar, (2013). "Developing 1-D MM of transient industrial quenched chromium steel- 5147H to study the effect of radius on temperature history". Journal of "Advanced Materials Research", Trans Tech Publications, Switzerland,
  11. doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.711.115. Vol. 711 (2013), pp 115-127. Indexed in EI Compendex. Thomson ISTP and Elsevier Scopus. Copyright©2013 Elsevier. <http://www.scopus.com/record/display.url?eid=2-s2.0-84880367250&origin=inward&txGid=2288C447D14E06C42D0DA492ACF19C28.aXczxbyuHHiXgaIW6Ho7g%3a2>
  12. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar, (2011). "Unsteady State Computer Simulation of 2 Chromium Steel as Austenitizing Temperature to Determine LHP". Metalurgia-Journal of Metallurgy [MJOM]. Vol 18 (2) 2012 pp. 79-91, [Impact Factor: 0.3]. [http://metalurgija.org.rs/mjom/vol18/No2/1\\_Elmaryami\\_MME\\_1802.pdf](http://metalurgija.org.rs/mjom/vol18/No2/1_Elmaryami_MME_1802.pdf) <http://metalurgija.org.rs/mjom/vol18.html>
  13. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar, (2011). "Modeling the lowest hardness point in steel bar during quenching". Journal of ASTM International, Vol. 9, 2011, No. 5, ID JA1104386. [Impact Factor 0.279]. [http://www.astm.org/DIGITAL\\_LIBRARY/JOURNALS/MPC/PAGES/MPC104386.htm](http://www.astm.org/DIGITAL_LIBRARY/JOURNALS/MPC/PAGES/MPC104386.htm)
  14. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar, (2011). "Developing 1-D MM of Axi-symmetric Transient Quenched Mo-STEEL AISI-SAE 4037H to Determine LHP". Journal of Metallurgy and Materials Science, Vol. 53, No. 3, PP. 289-303. <http://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:jmms&volume=53&issue=3&article=008>
  15. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar, (2011). "Determination LHP of Axi-symmetric Transient Molybdenum Steel-4037H Quenched in Sea Water By Developing 1-D Mathematical Model". Metalurgia-Journal of Metallurgy, Metallurgical & Materials Engineering, Vol 18 (3) 2012 p. 203-221, [Impact Factor: 0.3]. [http://metalurgija.org.rs/mjom/vol18/No3/5\\_Elmaryami\\_MME\\_1803.pdf](http://metalurgija.org.rs/mjom/vol18/No3/5_Elmaryami_MME_1803.pdf) <http://metalurgija.org.rs/mjom/vol18.html>
  16. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami, Badrul Omar, (2011). "The lowest hardness point calculation by transient computer simulation of industrial steel bar quenched in oil at different austenitizing temperatures". International conference on Industrial Engineering and Management, (IEEE-IEM 2011); Wuhan; China; 2011; DOI: 10.1109/ICMSS.2011.5999335. Vol. 1, 2011, pp. 1-6. IEEE, Scopus. Copyright©2011 IEEE. Copyright©2011 Elsevier. <http://www.scopus.com/record/display.url?eid=2-s2.0-80052878741&origin=inward&txGid=B3B00A703EC6950911447E94549248C9.zQKnzAySRvJOZYcdfLziQ%3a124>
  17. Film coefficient of water (h) is provided by Steel Industries (Sabah) Sdn Bhd, Malaysia and it is dependent upon the surface temperature of steel bar. Since h is provided, hence, has simplified the convection of cooling chamber without considering the complicated nature of forced convection.
  18. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami, Badrul Omar, (2013). International Journal of Materials Science, Vol.8, No.1 2013, pp.13-28.
  19. Properties of alloy steel AISI 50B46H, [http://www.efunda.com/Materials/CA\\_94088](http://www.efunda.com/Materials/CA_94088), Copyright © 2009 eFunda eFunda..
  20. ASM: 1990, "Properties and Selection: Irons, Steels, and High-Performance Alloys," Tenth Edition, Vol. 1, Heat Treating, American Society for Metals.
  21. Abdmanam S. A. Elmaryami, Badrul Omar, (2012). "Modeling LHP in carbon steel-1045 during quenching". Journal of Mathematical Theory and Modeling, Vol 2, No 12, (2012), pp. 35-47. [IC Impact factor value:





- 5.53]. Copyright@www.iiste.org  
<http://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/MTM/article/view/377/0/3819>
22. Abdlmanam.S.A.Elmaryami., Hasan, S.B.H., Badrul Omar, Elshayeb, M. "Unsteady state hardness prediction of industrial quenched steel bar [one and two dimensional]", Materials Science and Technology Conference and Exhibition 2009, MS and T'09 Vol. 3 , pp. 1514-1520
  23. A. Rose et al, Atlas zur Wärmebehandlung der Stähle I, Verlag Stahleisen, Düsseldorf, 1958.
  24. Bozo, S. (1998). "Numerical Simulation of As-quenched Hardness in a Steel Specimen of Complex Form. Communications in Numerical Method in Engineering" 14. 277-285.
  25. Abdlmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar, (2011). "LHP CALCULATION BY DEVELOPING MM OF AXISYMMETRIC TRANSIENT QUENCHED STEEL, SEA WATER COOLED". International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology (IJEST).
  26. Badrul Omar, Elshayeb, M., Abdlmanam Elmaryami., "Unsteady state thermal behavior of industrial quenched steel bar", 18th World IMACS Congress and MODSIM09 International Congress on Modeling and Simulation: Interfacing Modeling and Simulation with Mathematical and Computational Sciences, Proceedings, pp. 1699-1705
  27. Abdlmanam.S.A.Elmaryami, Materials Science and Technology (MS&T), 2007, September 16-20, 2007, Detroit, Michigan. USA, Copyright © 2007 MS&T07.
  28. Abdlmanam.S.A.Elmaryami, Badrul Omar and Mohamed Elshayeb, (2009) 5th European Metallurgical Conference 2009, June 28 - July 1, Innsbruck, Austria, Copyright © 2009, EMC 2009. Vol. 4 pp. 1492-1496
  29. B. Smoljan, Mathematical modelling of austenite decomposition during the quenching, 13th International Science Conference, The Polish Academy of Science 2004.
  30. B. Smojan (2006). Prediction of mechanical properties and microstructure distribution of quenched and tempered steel shaft, journal of materials processing technology, volume 175, Issu1-3, pp. (393-397).
  31. B. Donnay, J. C Herman and V.Leroy (CRM, Belgium) U. Lotter, R. Grossterlinden and H. Pircher (Thyssen Stahl AG, Germany), Microstructure Evolution of C-Mn Steel in the Hot Deformation Process: The Stripcam Model.
  32. Bozidar Liscic (2010) System for process Analysis and hardness prediction when quenching Axially-Symmetrical workpieces of any shape in liquid quenchants, Journal of materials science form (vol. 638-642).
  33. B. Smoljan, D. Iljkić, S. Smokvina Hanza, Computer simulation of working stress of heat treated steel specimen, Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering 34/2 (2009) 152-156.
  34. Hsieh, Rong-Iuan; Liou, Horng-Yih; Pan, Yeong-Tsuen (2001), Effect of cooling time and alloying elements on the microstructure of the gleeble-simulated heat-affected zone of 22% Cr duplex stainless steels, journal of materials engineering and performance, volume 10, ssue 5, pp. 526-536.
  35. Croft, D.R. 1989. Thermal Analysis of An Industrial Heat Treatment Problem. Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference held in Swansea, UK on July 3rd-July 7th 1989, Numerical Methods in Thermal Problems Volume VI, Part 2 1813:1822.
  36. Robert K. N. (2001). "Quenching and Tempering of Welded Steel Tubular." July 29, 2001. The FABRICATOR articles.
  37. Abdlmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar, (2011). "Modeling the lowest hardness point in steel bar during quenching". Journal of ASTM International, Vol. 9, 2011, No. 5, ID JA1104386. [Impact Factor 0.279]. [http://www.astm.org/DIGITAL\\_LIBRARY/JOURNALS/MPC/PAGES/MPC104386.htm](http://www.astm.org/DIGITAL_LIBRARY/JOURNALS/MPC/PAGES/MPC104386.htm)
  38. Abdlmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar, (2011). "Developing 1-D MM of Axi-symmetric Transient Quenched Mo-STEEL AISI-SAE 4037H to Determine LHP". Journal of Metallurgy and Materials Science, Vol. 53, No. 3, PP. 289-303. <http://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:jmms&volume=53&issue=3&article=008>
  39. Abdlmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar, (2011). "Determination LHP of Axi-symmetric Transient Molybdenum Steel-4037H Quenched in Sea Water By Developing 1-D Mathematical Model". Metalurgia-Journal of Metallurgy, Metallurgical & Materials Engineering, Vol 18 (3) 2012 p. 203-221, [Impact Factor: 0.3]. [http://metalurgija.org.rs/mjom/vol18/No3/5\\_Elmaryami\\_MME\\_1803.pdf](http://metalurgija.org.rs/mjom/vol18/No3/5_Elmaryami_MME_1803.pdf)  
<http://metalurgija.org.rs/mjom/vol18.html>
  40. Abdlmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar, (2012). "Developing 1-Dimensional Transient Heat Transfer Axi-Symmetric MM to Predict the Hardness, Determination LHP and to Study the Effect of Radius on E-LHP of Industrial Quenched Steel Bar". Book: "Heat Transfer Phenomena and Applications", Edited by Salim N. Kazi, ISBN 978-953-51-0815-3, Published: October 24, 2012 under CC BY 3.0 license, in subject Physical Sciences, Engineering and Technology, Chapter 6, p. 153-182. <http://www.intechopen.com/books/heat-transfer-phenomena-and-applications>
  41. Abdlmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar, (2012), "Effect of Radius on Temperature History of Transient Industrial Quenched Chromium Steel-8650H by Developing 1-D MM". Journal of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 7, 2013, no. 10, 471 – 486. <http://mhikari.com/ams/forth/index.html>  
<http://mhikari.com/ams/forth/elmaryamiAMS9-12-2013.pdf>
  42. Abdlmanam S. A. Elmaryami and Badrul Omar, (2012), "Determination LHP of axisymmetric transient quenched chromium steel-5147H by developing 1-D MM". Metallurg-journal (Russia)
  43. Atlas Specialty Metals, Copyright © 2010 - 2012 Atlas Steels, carbon steel 1045 j-distance curve <http://www.atlassteels.com.au/documents/Atlas1045.pdf>
  44. SubsTech, Substances&Technologies open knowledge source in Materials Engineering, mechanical properties of Carbon steel SAE 1045, dmitrikop@gmail.com [http://www.substech.com/dokuwiki/doku.php?id=carbon\\_steel\\_sae\\_1045](http://www.substech.com/dokuwiki/doku.php?id=carbon_steel_sae_1045)
  45. AZo Journal of Materials Online, mechanical properties of AISI 1045 Medium Carbon Steel, Copyright © 2000-2012, Head Office, Suite 24, 90 Mona Vale Rd, Warriewood, NSW, 2102Australia, <http://www.azom.com/article.aspx?ArticleID=6130#4>
  46. eFunda online publisher, Sunnyvale, CA 94088, mechanical properties of Carbon Steel 1045, Copyright © 2012 eFunda, Inc [info@efunda.com,http://www.efunda.com/materials/alloy\\_s/carbon\\_steels/show\\_carbon.cfm?id=aisi\\_1045&prop=all&page\\_title=aisi%201045](http://www.efunda.com/materials/alloy_s/carbon_steels/show_carbon.cfm?id=aisi_1045&prop=all&page_title=aisi%201045)