

# SORET AND MAGNETIC EFFECTS ON THE ONSET OF CONVECTION IN A SHALLOW HORIZONTAL POROUS LAYER WITH THERMAL RADIATION

# <sup>1</sup>AMOS EMEKA, <sup>2</sup>ISRAEL-COOKEY CHIGOZIE

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rivers State University of Science and Technology, Port Harcourt, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Rivers State University of Science and Technology, Port Harcourt, Nigeria. <sup>1</sup>amos.emeka@ust.edu.ng, <sup>2</sup>Israel-Cookey.chigozie@ust.edu.ng

# ABSTRACT

Convective flow in a shallow porous layer is studied. The horizontal channel is subjected to magnetic field and flux of heat and mass. Using the Darcy model with the assumption that the fluid is Newtonian and radiating, the governing equations were prescribed. For given values of the governing parameters, we obtained our numerical solutions using 'mathematica'. Our results shows that a change in the sign of the stream function changes the direction of the flow from clockwise to anticlockwise or vice versa without changing the characteristics of the flow. The effect of Soret, magnetic field, radiation parameter, thermal Rayleigh number on the flow and on the heat and mass transfer depends on whether the solutal and thermal buoyancy forces are cooperative or not (both cases are discussed) but a common feature exist in which increases in electromagnetic force field decreases the flow intensity. For the heat driven flow limit, the flow intensity for the clockwise and counterclockwise solutions are equal and the stream function and heat transfer rate are not dependent on Soret at each thermal Rayleigh value.

## Key Words: Soret, magnetic field, double diffusive convection, thermal Rayleigh number, porous medium

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Flows induced by the buoyancy forces resulting from the imposition of both thermal and solutal boundary conditions on the layer are of interest principally because of its wide range of applications relevant to man. For example, geographical systems, solidification of binary mixtures, solute intrusion in sediments in coastal areas, specie transport through biological membranes, electrochemistry, engineering applications involving sudden heating or cooling and many others.

Early studies on double diffusive natural convection in porous media focused on the onset of convective instability in a horizontal porous layer. On the basis of linear stability analysis theory, Malshetty (1993), Nield (1986), Poulikakos (1986), and Bahloul *et al.* (2003) investigated the onset of double diffusive convection.Rudraiah *et al.* (1982), and Israel-Cookey and Amos (2014) also used linear stability analysis to study instabilities and diffusive regimes for double diffusive convection.

The problem of double diffusive convection and cross-diffusion in a Maxwell fluid in a horizontal porous layer was studied by Award et al. (2010) using the modified Darcy-Brinkman model and analytical expressions of the critical Darcy-Rayleigh number for the onset of stationary and oscillatory convection were derived. Other investigations on the onset of stationary and oscillatory convections include Amahmid et al. (1999) and Liu et al. (2008). Experimental studies on thermodiffusion phenomena proved the existence of mixtures in which the Soret coefficient is large enough to affect the flow and heat and mass transfer in these mixtures considerably. Costeseque (2004)Platen and determined experimentally the effect of Soret coefficient in pure fluid and porous medium and concluded that this coefficient is the same for both media. Benano-Melly et al. (2001) studied numerically and experimentally the problem of thermo-diffusion in an initially homogenous mixture submitted to a horizontal thermal gradient. Their numerical results showed that,

### International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences © 2012 - 2016 EAAS & ARF. All rights reserved www.eaas-journal.org



depending on Soret coefficient value, multiple convection-roll flow patterns could develop in the presence of counter-acting thermal and solutal buoyancy forces. In their study of double diffusive natural convection, Mansour *et al.* (2006), Amos and Israel-Cookey (2015) concluded that Soret coefficien considerably affects the heat and mass transfer depending on the flow structure and the sign of the Soret parameter. Er-Raki *et al.* (2006) studied the effect of Soret on boundary layer flows induced in a vertical porous layer subjected to horizontal heat and mass fluxes. They showed that, depending on the sign of the buoyancy ratio, the boundary layer thickness can increase or decrease with the Soret coefficient.

An investigation of the electromagnetic stabilization of convection in a rather simple numerical model leads to several qualitative conclusions which will be valid also for more complicated configurations and therefore relevant to the technological processes mentioned above. Theoretical and numerical studies of thermal convection under the action of constant magnetic field were conducted for various geometries by Oreper and Szekely (1983), Di Piazza and Ciofalo (2002), Kim et al. (1988), and Bojarevias (1995). Also, the effect of magnetic field on double diffusive convection in a binary fluid saturating a horizontal layer was studied by Rakoto-Ramambason and Vasseur According to (2007).reasonable simplifications, Siegal and Howell (1972), and Israel-Cookey and Omubo-Pepple (2007) considered radiative heat flux in the energy equation to describe convective flow.

In all these investigations reported, the simultaneous effect of Soret coefficient and magnetic field on thermosolutal convection in a shallow porous medium taking into consideration the presence of radiative heat flux has not been considered. That is the main purpose of this present study.

### 2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

The flow configuration consists of a two dimensional shallow enclosure of height, H and length, L, permeability, K and filled with electrically conducting binary fluid (see figure 1).



Figure 1: Schematic of the physical problem

The binary fluid that saturates the porous layer is modeled as Boussinesq incompressible fluid and the state equation is

$$\rho = \rho_0 \left[ 1 - \beta_T \left( T' - T_0 \right) - \beta_c \left( C' - C_0 \right) \right]$$
(1)

where  $\beta_T$  and  $\beta_c$  are thermal expansion coefficient and concentration expansion coefficient respectively, subscript zero refers to reference state. The horizontal walls are subject to uniform fluxes of heat, q' and mass, j' per unit area. The effects due to viscous dissipation and porous medium inertial forces are negligible. A magnetic field of intensity  $\vec{B}_0$  is applied perpendicular to the channel. Using the Darcy model and taking into account the Soret effect, with the assumption that the fluid is Newtonian and radiating, the dimensional governing equation expressing continuity. momentum, energy and specie concentration are

$$\begin{split} \vec{\nabla}'.\vec{V}' &= 0 \quad (2) \\ \frac{\mu}{\kappa} \vec{V}' &= -\vec{\nabla}'P' + \rho \vec{g} + j_e \times \vec{B}_0 \quad (3) \\ \left(\rho c\right)_p \frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} + \left(\rho c\right)_f \left(\vec{V}'.\vec{\nabla}T'\right) &= \kappa \vec{\nabla}'^2 T' - \vec{\nabla}'.q'_r \quad (4) \\ \varepsilon' \frac{\partial C'}{\partial t'} + \vec{V}'.\vec{\nabla}'C' &= D\vec{\nabla}'^2 C' + D * C'_0 \vec{\nabla}'^2 T' \quad (5) \end{split}$$

where  $\vec{g}$  is the gravitational acceleration,  $\mathcal{E}'$  is the porosity of the porous medium,  $(\rho c)_p$  and  $(\rho c)_f$  are the heat capacity of the porous medium and the fluid respectively,  $\kappa$  is the thermal conductivity,  $\vec{V}'$  is the Darcy velocity,  $q_r$  is the radiative flux, D and  $D^*$  are mass diffusivity of species and thermo-diffusion coefficient respectively,  $\rho$  is the pressure.

EAAS

 $j_e \times \overline{B}_0$  is electromagnetic force which consists of the current density  $j_e$  and the magnetic field  $\overline{B}_0$ , T' and C' are temperature and solutal mass concentration respectively.

Following Amos and Israel-Cookey (2015), equations (3) and (4) reduce to

$$\nabla'^{2} \psi' = -\frac{\vec{g}\beta_{T}K}{\nu} \left( \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + \frac{\beta_{c}}{\beta_{T}} \frac{\partial C'}{\partial x'} \right) -$$

$$-\sigma \frac{B_{o}^{2}K}{\mu} \nabla'^{2} \psi'$$

$$\left( \rho c \right)_{p} \frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} + \left( \rho c \right)_{f} \left( \vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{\nabla} T' \right) = \kappa \nabla'^{2} T' +$$

$$+ \frac{16\sigma * T^{3}_{o} \nabla'^{2} T'}{3\delta}$$

$$(7)$$

 $C = \frac{C' - C_0}{\Delta C'}, \quad \psi = \frac{\psi'}{\alpha}, \quad \Delta T' = \frac{q'H'}{\kappa},$   $\Delta C' = \frac{jH'}{D}, \qquad Le = \frac{\alpha}{D} \qquad (10)$   $R_T = \frac{\bar{g}\beta_T Kq'{H'}^2}{\kappa \nu \alpha}, \quad R_C = \frac{\bar{g}\beta_C Kj{H'}^2}{\nu D^2},$   $R^2 = \frac{16\sigma * T_o^3}{3\kappa\delta}, \quad \alpha = \frac{k}{(\rho c)_f}, \quad M = B_0 (\frac{\kappa \sigma}{\mu})^{\frac{1}{2}}$   $\nu = \frac{\mu}{\rho_0}, \quad \text{where } \phi = \frac{(\rho c)_p}{(\rho c)_f} \text{ is the heat capacity ratio.}$ 

Consequently we have the following governing equations based on equations (5), (6), (7), (8) and (9)

$$\left(1+M^2\right)\nabla^2\psi = -\left(R_T \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x} + \frac{R_c \partial C}{Le \partial x}\right)$$
(11)

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y} = \left(1 + R^2\right)\nabla^2\theta \qquad (12)$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{Le} \left[ \nabla^2 C + S \nabla^2 \theta \right] \quad (13)$$

subject to

$$\psi = 0$$
,  $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = 0$  for  $x = \frac{A_r}{2}$  (14)

$$\psi = 0, \ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = -1, \ \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = -1 + S \text{ for } x = \pm \frac{1}{2}$$
 (15)

 $R_T$  is the thermal Rayleigh number,  $R_C$  is the solutal Rayleigh number,  $A_r = \frac{L}{H}$  is the cavity aspect ratio, *Le* is the Lewis number, *S* is the Soret parameter, *R* is the radiation parameter and *M* is the magnetic parameter. The buoyancy is given as

$$N = \frac{\beta_C}{B_T} \frac{\Delta C'}{\Delta T'} \tag{16}$$

and is such that

$$R_C = R_T NLe \tag{17}$$

where 
$$\psi'$$
 is the stream function and  $u = \frac{\partial \psi'}{\partial y'}$ 

 $v = -\frac{\partial \psi'}{\partial x'}$  such that equation (2) is satisfied. *K* is the permeability of the porous medium,  $\sigma$  is electrical conductivity,  $\sigma^*$  is Stephan Boltzmann constant,  $\delta$  is the mean absorption coefficient. Equations (5), (6) and (7) and are to be solved subject to the boundary conditions:

$$\psi' = 0, \quad \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial C'}{\partial x'} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x' = \pm \frac{L}{2}$$
(8)  
$$\psi' = 0, \quad \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = -\frac{q'}{k}, \quad \frac{\partial C'}{\partial y'} = -\frac{j}{D} + \frac{D * C'_0 q'}{Dk}$$
  
$$\text{at} \quad y' = \pm \frac{H}{2}$$
(9)

We introduce the following non dimensionless variables (primed quantities are dimensional)

$$(x, y) = \frac{(x', y')}{H'}, \quad \vec{V}' = (u, v) = \frac{(u', v')}{\alpha} H',$$

$$t = \frac{t'\gamma}{\phi H'^2}, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon'}{\phi}, \quad \theta = \frac{T' - T_o}{\Delta T'}$$



Hence we express the intensity of the thermal and solutal buoyancy forces in terms of  $R_T$  and  $R_C$ . The Nusselt number, Nu, and the Sherwood number, Sh, which expresses the heat and solute transport respectively, are

$$Nu = \frac{1}{\left[\theta(0, -\frac{1}{2}) - \theta(0, \frac{1}{2})\right]} \quad \text{and}$$

$$Sh = \frac{1}{\left[C(0, -\frac{1}{2}) - \theta(0, \frac{1}{2})\right]} \quad (18)$$

3. METHOD OF SOLUTION

in the parallel flow form:

 $\theta(x, y) = D_{\theta} x + E_{\theta}(y)$ 

 $\psi(x, y) = \psi(y)$ 

In the limit of shallow cavity ( $A_r >> 1$ ) we find approximate solutions (Bahloul *et al.* 2003) expressed The equation for  $D_{\theta}$  and  $D_{C}$  can be established by imposing zero heat and mass transfer across any transversal section. That is

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left[ (u\theta - (1 + R^2) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right] dy = 0$$
 (26)

and

(19)

(20)

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left[ u C - \frac{1}{Le} \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + S \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right) \right] dy = 0 \quad (27)$$

The solutions to (26) and (27) establishes that

$$D_{\theta} = \frac{4b(1+R^2)\psi_0}{3[2b(1+R^2)^2 + \psi_0^2]}$$
(28)

$$D_{C} = \frac{[Le \ \psi_{0}^{2} - 2b(1+R^{2})]SD_{\theta} - 4bLe\psi_{0}(S-1)(1+R^{2})}{3(1+R^{2})\left[2b + Le^{2}\psi_{0}^{2}\right]}$$
(29)

$$C(x, y) = D_C x + E_C(y)$$
<sup>(21)</sup>

We apply equations (19)-(21) on equations (11)-(15) and solving the resulting equations yields

$$\psi = \psi_0 \left( 1 - 4y^2 \right) \tag{22}$$

$$\theta(x, y) = D_{\theta}x + (S - 1)y + \frac{\psi_0 D_{\theta}}{3(1 + R^2)}(3y - 4y^3) \quad (23)$$

$$C(x, y) = D_{C} x + (S - 1)y + + \frac{\psi_{0}}{3} \left( Le D_{C} - \frac{SD_{\theta}}{1 + R^{2}} \right) (3y - 4y^{3})$$
(24)

where

$$\psi_{0} = \frac{R_{T}}{8(1+M^{2})} \left( D_{\theta} + ND_{C} \right)$$
(25)

where 
$$b = \frac{15}{16}$$

From this statement, we can deduce expression for the heat and mass transfer respectively as

$$Nu = \frac{18b(1+R^2)^2 + 9\psi_0^2}{18b(1+R^2)^2 + (9-8b)\psi_0^2}$$
(30)  
$$Sh = -\frac{3(1+R^2)}{2}$$

$$h = -\frac{1}{3(S-1)(1+R^2) + 2\psi_0 \left[Le D_C \left(1+R^2\right) - SD_\theta\right]}$$
(31)

Setting  $\psi_0 = 0$  in equations (23) and (24), a purely diffusive state is obtained. That is

$$\theta(x, y) = -y \tag{32}$$

$$C(x, y) = (S-1)y$$
 (33)



Thus for the purely diffusive state, the heat and mass transfer is

$$Nu = 1 \tag{34}$$

and

$$Sh = \frac{1}{1 - S} \tag{35}$$

Substituting equations (28) and (29) into equation (25) yields.

$$\psi_0 \left[ Le^2 \psi_0^4 - 2b Le d_1 \psi_0^2 - b^2 d_2 \right] = 0$$
 (36)

where

3

$$d_{1} = \frac{1}{12} \frac{R_{T}}{(1+M^{2})} \left[ Le(1+R^{2}) + NS - N(S-1) \right] - Le \left[ (1+R^{2}) + \frac{1}{Le} \right]$$
$$d_{2} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{R_{T}}{(1+M^{2})} (1+R^{2}) \left[ 1 - NS - NLe((S-1)(1+R^{2})) \right]$$

#### 4. **RESULTS**

The evolution of the flow intensity  $|\Psi_0|$ , the heat transfer Nu, and the mass transfer, Sh, depends on the values attributed to the governing parameters. For given values of  $R_T$ , Le, N, R, S, equation (36), is solved numerically using the software Wolfram, (1991). Five different mathematica' solutions are predicted by this model. Apart from the rest state solution, our observation of the results shows that a change in the sign of  $\Psi_0$ , changes the direction of the flow from clockwise to counterclockwise or vice versa without changing the flow intensity or the characteristics of the heat and mass transfer. Points in the table with '--' indicates entry which otherwise would have been a complex value.

# 4.1. Solutal Dominated Aiding Flow

For the case of cooperative solutal and thermal buoyancy forces, it is observed that the strength of the convective flow is promoted by an increase in N. This is because increasing N, for a fixed value of  $R_T$ ( that is, a given strength of the thermal buoyancy forces) increases the total buoyancy forces. The effect of the magnetic field is depicted in fig.2



**Figure 2**: Influence of magnetic field and thermal Rayleigh number on the flow intensity for  $Le = 10, R = 0.2, N = 1, b = \frac{15}{16}$ .

It is observed that as *M* increases progressively the flow intensity decreases. Even at such decrease, increasing thermal Raleigh number,  $R_T$ , adds to the strength of the flow. Further considerations of the numerical solution shows that at M > 7,  $R_T = 20$ , the flow solutions are imaginary. Increasing the Soret, *S*, decreases the flow intensity (fig. 3). Under the action of magnetic field, therefore, increase in Soret decreases the flow intensity such that at M = 6, the flow is at the rest state for  $S \ge 0$  and almost at the rest state for S = -0.5.

# International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences © 2012 - 2016 EAAS & ARF. All rights reserved



www.eaas-journal.org



Figure 3: Influence of magnetic field and Soret on the flow intensity for Le = 10, R = 0.2, $N = 1, R_T = 10$  and  $b = \frac{15}{16}$ .

Figure 4 shows that increase in radiation increases the flow intensity. Increase also in the thermal Rayleigh number further enhances the flow intensity. It is observed from table 1 that increase in magnetic field decreases the rate of heat transfer and mass transfer. Increase in the thermal Rayleigh number is observed to further increase rate of mass transfer. For M = 5, the heat transfer is almost by pure conduction  $(Nu \approx 1)$ .



**Figure 4**: Influence of radiation and thermal Rayleigh number on the flow intensity for  $Le = 10, M = 0.5, S = -0.5, N = 1, b = \frac{15}{16}$ 

**TABLE 1**: Influence of thermal Rayleigh number and magnetic field on heat and mas transfer for Le = 10, S = 0.25, R = 0.2, N = 1 and  $b = \frac{15}{16}$ 

$R_T$	М	Ψ <sub>0</sub>	Nu	Sh	
10	0	0.597135	1.14235	5.74828	
	0.5	0.466176	1.08773	5.18407	
	1	0.304166	1.03773	4.0358	
	2	0.15916	1.01039	2.47952	
	5	0.0421675	1.00073	1.43315	
20	0	1.31286	1.62038	6.97019	
	0.5	1.0437	1.41083	6.68363	
	1	0.597135	1.14235	5.74828	
	2	0.256228	1.02683	3.56649	
	5	0.0826187	1.0028	1.69769	
50	0	2.63726	2.81851	7.59738	
	0.5	2.27753	2.49441	7.49621	
	1	1.60215	1.87102	7.18631	
	2	0.597135	1.14235	5.74828	
	5	0.155104	1.00987	2.43338	
70	0 0 3.24103		3.31655	7.71244	
	0.5	2.83172	2.98613	7.64058	
	1	2.07535	2.30715	7.42347	
	2	0.895939	1.30943	6.46671	
	5	0.193977	1.01541	2.87975	

### 4.2. Heat Driven Flow Limit

Here the thermal buoyancy forces and the Soret effect in the vicinity of the vertical boundaries induce a weak flow. The flow intensity for the clockwise and counterclockwise solutions are equal and both show decrease as M increases. Table 2 shows that flow pattern becomes weaker as M increases. This effect is such that at M = 2 when  $R_T = 10$  circulation becomes completely impossible. Though the table is computed based on  $R_T = 10$ , an increase in the thermal Rayleigh number ensured an improvement on the strength of the flow and consequently enhanced flow possibilities (for example, at M = 2,  $R_T = 70$ , flow is possible but at M = 6,  $R_T = 70$ , flow is not possible). Such improvement by  $R_T$ , in the strength of the circulation also aids the rate of heat and mass transfer even when the magnetic field is hindering both. Our numerical computation shows that solution for the stream function and heat and mass transfer rate are independent of Soret at each  $R_T$ value but not the same for the mass transfer. Increase in Soret increases mass transfer rate.

### International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences © 2012 - 2016 EAAS & ARF. All rights reserved www.eaas-journal.org

<b>TABLE 2:</b> Influence of magnetic field and Soret on the								
stream function for $Le = 10, R = 0.2, N = 0, b = \frac{1}{16}, R_T = 10$								
S	М	Ψ <sub>0</sub>	Nu	Sh				
-0.5	0	0.208347	1.01777	1.67495				
	0.5	0.144949	1.00862	1.22474				
	1	0.081737	1.00274	0.861984				
	2							
0	0	0.208347	1.01777	2.39211				
	0.5	0.144949	1.00862	1.78684				
	1	0.081737	1.00274	1.28029				
	2							
0.5	0	0.208347	1.01777	4.18329				
	0.5	0.144949	1.00862	3.30259				
	1	0.081737	1.00274	2.48733				
	2							



**Figure 5**: Influence of magnetic field and thermal Rayleigh number on heat and mass transfer for Le = 10, S = 0.5, N = 0, R = 0.2and  $b = \frac{15}{16}$ 



**Figure 6**: Influence of magnetic field and thermal Rayleigh number on heat and mass transfer for Le = 10, S = 0.5, N = 0, R = 0.2 and  $b = \frac{15}{16}$ 

Figure 5 shows that the heat transfer decreases considerably as *M* increases. Hence for M = 2 the heat transfer is by pure conduction ( $Nu \approx 1$ ). The effect of the magnetic field (figure 6) is much reduced on the mass transfer because of the more pronounced influence of the thermal Rayleigh number and the concentration distribution induced by the Soret and by convection.

For this pure thermal case, increase in radiation increases the flow intensity but decreases the heat transfer rate (Table 3). Increase in Soret has no effect on the heat transfer rate but significantly increases the mass transfer rate at the same thermal Rayleigh number,  $R_T$ .

**TABLE 3**: Influence of Soret on the stream function and heat and mass transfer for  $N = 0, Le = 10, M = 0.5, R_T = 20$  and  $b = \frac{15}{2}$ 

16								
S	R	$\Psi_0$	Nu	Sh				
-0.5	0.1	0.723094	1.21788	3.86249				
	0.5	0.81718	1.183	3.91202				
	2	1.72253	1.0522	4.00151				
	5	3.98622	1.01043	4.00182				
0	0.1	0.723094	1.21788	5.11468				
	0.5	0.81718	1.183	5.27911				
	2	1.72253	1.0522	5.81735				
	5	3.98622	1.01043	5.96485				
0.5	0.1	0.723094	1.21788	7.56827				
	0.5	0.81718	1.183	8.11492				
	2	1.72253	1.0522	10.6504				
	5	3.98622	1.01043	11.708				

#### 4.3. Opposing Double Diffusive Flow

Five solutions are possible for the stream function – two clockwise ( $\Psi_{0,1}$  and  $\Psi_{0,2}$ ), two counterclockwise ( $\Psi_{0,3}$  and  $\Psi_{0,4}$ ) and the rest state. A symmetry runs on the solutions obtained such that  $|\Psi_{0,1}| = |\Psi_{0,4}|$  and  $|\Psi_{0,2}| = |\Psi_{0,3}|$ . Because of similarity in behavior and also that the flow intensity of  $\Psi_{0,1}$  and  $\Psi_{0,4}$  are higher than that of  $\Psi_{0,2}$  and  $\Psi_{0,3}$ , we shall emphasize more on  $\Psi_{0,1}$  and  $\Psi_{0,4}$ .

**TABLE** 4: Influence of Soret, thermal Rayleigh number, radiation and buoyancy on the flow intensity for Le = 10,  $b = \frac{15}{14}$  and M = 0.5

			N = -1		N = -2	
S	$R_T$	R	$\Psi_{0,1}$	$\Psi_{0,4}$	$\Psi_{0,1}$	Ψ <sub>0,4</sub>
-0.5	40	0	-1.25113	1.25113		
		0.5	-1.50811	1.50811		
		2	-3.46699	3.46699		
		3	-4.99131	4.99131		
		4	-6.55405	6.55405		

International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences
© 2012 - 2016 EAAS & ARF. All rights reserved
www.eaas-journal.org

		5	-8.13329	8.13329		
	50	0	-1.72996	1.72996		
		0.5	-1.99567	1.99567		
		2	-4.32703	4.32703	-3.77713	3.77713
		3	-6.19309	6.19309	-5.56107	5.56107
		5	-10.0582	10.0582	-9.16292	9.16292
0.5	40	0	-1.51386	1.51386	-1.18156	1.18156
		0.5	-1.74131	1.74131	-1.46263	1.46263
		2	-3.76146	3.76146	-3.55624	3.55624
		3	-5.38265	5.38265	-5.15602	5.15602
		5	-8.74137	8.74137	-8.43627	8.43627
	50	0	-1.85516	1.85516	-1.60328	1.60328
		0.5	-2.12122	2.12122	-1.8978	1.8978
		2	-4.51438	4.51438	-4.35261	4.35261
		3	-6.44637	6.44637	-6.27546	6.27546
		5	-10.4556	10.4556	-10.2358	10.2358

It is observed from Table 4 that the flow intensity increases with radiation. Increases in Soret and thermal Rayleigh number further enhances the flow intensity. Our numerical computation also shows that as the buoyancy N, is progressively decreased, the thermal buoyancy forces and the Soret effect prevailing in the vicinity of the vertical boundary induces a weak flow. In fact when S = -0.5,  $R_T = 40$  and N = -2, convection is not possible. However by increasing the thermal Rayleigh number,  $R_T$ , we expect a multiplicity of solutions.

					16
S	$R_T$	R	Ψ <sub>0,1</sub>	Nu	Sh
-0.5	40	0	-1.25113	1.60172	4.16472
		0.5	-1.50811	1.57282	4.16459
		2	-3.46699	1.20493	4.07843
		3	-4.99131	1.10833	4.04485
		5	-8.13329	1.04312	4.01888
	50	0	-7.72996	2.05063	4.16254
		0.5	-1.99567	1.9236	4.15505
		2	-4.32703	1.31208	4.07859
		3	-6.19309	1.6484	4.04664
		5	-10.0582	1.06564	4.02023
0.5	40	0	-1.51386	1.84619	9.33082
		0.5	-1.74131	1.73559	9.66408
		2	-3.76146	1.23948	11.0613
		3	-5.38265	1.12554	11.4619
		5	-8.74137	1.04974	11.7713

**TABLE 5**: Influence of Soret and radiation on heat and mass transfer for N = -1, M = 0.5, Le = 10 and  $b = \frac{15}{10}$ 

Both  $\Psi_{0,1}$  and  $\Psi_{0,4}$  gives the same Nussselt and Sherwood numbers consequently it is observed that increased radiation increases the heat transfer rate and decreases the mass transfer rate but increasing the Soret coefficient slightly improves on the heat transfer but significantly improves the mass transfers (Table 5).

# 5. CONCLUSION

- (i) For positive values of N, the strength of the convective flow is promoted by an increase in N. As radiation increases so is the flow intensity. Increase radiation also increase the rate of heat and mass transfer, whose magnitude increase as the Soret increase but more significantly on the mass transfer. As magnetic field increases progressively the flow intensity and the rate of heat and mass transfer decreases at a given thermal Rayleigh number,  $R_T$ . Despite this, further increase in the thermal Rayleigh number will improve on the flow intensity
- (ii) For the pure thermal convection, an increase in the thermal Rayleigh number,  $R_T$ , ensures an improvement on the strength of the clockwise and counterclockwise circulation and also on the rate of heat and mass transfer under action of increased radiation and magnetic field. The solutions reported here for  $\Psi_0$ , Nu are independent of Soret at the same  $R_T$  value. Increase in Soret increases mass transfer rate.
- (iii) For negative values of N, the flow intensity increase with radiation, Soret, and thermal Raleigh number, while increasing the magnetic field and buoyancy weakens the flow. The Soret shows improvement in both heat and mass transfer but at varying rates. The magnetic field decreases heat transfer but induces little increase in mass transfer except where the Soret is increased to make such increase in mass transfer more remarkable.

## 6. **REFERENCES**

- Amahmid, A., Hasnaoui, M., Mamou, A. and Vasseur, P. Double-diffusive parallel flow induced in a horizontal Brinkman porous layer subjected to constant heat and mass fluxes: Analytical and Numerical Studies. Heat and mass Transfer, 35, 409-421, 1999.
- Amos, E. and Israel- Cookey, C. Magnetic and radiation absorption effects on Thermosolutal convection in a horizontal porous layer subject to cross fluxes of heat and mass. International Journal of Current Research, 7 (7), 18328-18339, 2015.

- Award,F.G., Sibanda, P. and Motsa, S.S. On the linear stability analysis of Maxwell fluid with double-diffusive convection. Applied Mathematical Modeling, 34, 3509-3517, 2010.
- 4. Bahloul, A., Boutana, N., Vasseur, P. Double-diffusive and Soret-induced convection in a shallow horizontal porous layer. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, **491**, 325-352, 2003.
- Benano-Melly, L.B., Caltagirone, J.P., Faissat, B., Montel, F. and Costeseque, P. Modeling Soret coefficient measurement experiment in porous media considering thermal and solutal convection. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 44,1285-1297, 2001.
- Bojarevics, V. Horizontal temperature gradient driven flow and its stability in a Horizontal channel of Rectangular crosssection with the effects of transverse magnetic field. In Advances in Engineering Heat Transfer, Proceedings of Second Baltic Heat Transfer Conference (ed. B, Sundes E. Blums, A Zukauskas). Computational Mechanics Publications, Southampton. 1995.
- Di Piazza, I. and Ciofalo, M. MHD free convection in a liquid-metal cubic enclosure I. Differential heating. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 45, 1493-1511, 2002
- 8. Er-Raki, M, Hasnaoui, M., Amahmid, A. and Mamou, M. Soret effect on the boundary layer flow regime in a vertical porous enclosure subjected to horizontal heat and mass fluxes. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, **49**, 3111-3120, 2006.
- Israel-Cookey, C. and Amos, E. Soret and radiation absorption effects on the onset of Magneto-thermosolutal convection in a porous medium. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Bioinformatics, 4(1), 71-87, 2014.
- Israel-Cookey, C. and Omubo-Pepple, V. B. The effect of radiation on the linear stability of a horizontal layer in a fluid saturated media heated from below. Journal of Applied Science Environmental Management, 11(3) 59-62, 2007.
- 11. Kim, D.H., Adornato, P. and Brown, R. Effect of vertical magnetic field on convection and segregation in vertical

*Bridgman crystal growth.* Journal of Crystal Growth, **89**, 339-356, 1988.

- 12. Liu, D., Zhao, F. Y. and Tang, G .F. *Thermosolutal convection in saturated porous enclosure with concentration energy and solute sources*. Energy Conservation Management, **49**, 16-31, 2008.
- Malashetty, M.S. Anisotropic thermoconvective effects on the onset of double diffusive convection in a porous medium. International journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 39, 2397-2401, 1993.
- 14. Mansour, A., Amahmid, A., Hasnanoui, M. and Bourich, M. Numerical study of the multiplicity of solutions induced by thermosolutal Convection in a square porous cavity heated from below and submitted to horizontal concentration gradient in the presence of Soret effect. Numerical Heat Transfer Part A, **49**, 69-94, 2006.
- 15. Nield, D.A. Onset of thermohaline convection in porous medium. Water Resources Research, **4(3)**, 553-560, 1968.
- 16. Oreper, G.M. and Szekely, J. *The effect of an externally imposed magnetic field on buoyancy driven flow in a rectangular cavity*. Journal Crystal Growth, **64**, 505-515, 1983.
- 17. Platen, J.K. and Costeseque, P. *The Soret coefficient in porous media*. Journal Porous Media, **7(4)**, 317-329, 2004.
- Poulikakos, D. Double-diffusive convection in a horizontal sparsely packed porous layer. International Communications of Heat and Mass Transfer, 13, 587-598, 1986.
- 19. Rakoto-Ramambason, D. S. and Vasseur, P. Influence of a magnetic field on natural convection in a shallow porous enclosure saturated with a binary fluid. Acta Mechanica **191**, 21-35, 2007.
- Rudraiah, N, Shrimani, P.K. and Friedrich, R. Finite amplitude convection in a two Component fluid saturated with porous layer. International Journal Heat and Mass Transfer, 25(5), 715-722, 1982.
- 21. Siegal, R. and Howel, J.R. *Thermal radiation heat transfer*. New York: Mcgraw-Hill. 1972.
- 22. Wolfram, S. *Mathematica: A system of doing mathematics by computers*. England: Addison-Wesley Publishing. 1991.